Community Tree Planting Grants Scoring Guide Instructions

Applicant:

There are 5 categories that will be used to evaluate applications, with 20 points possible in each category. Within each category, there are 4 sub-criteria; these repeat on a spectrum of 0-5 points within the category. Check the boxes most applicable to the application, using no more than 4 checks per category, then multiply the checks by the "points" column to get a total score. For example, "Project area has <20% canopy cover" earns 5 points, but "20-25 trees planted" earns only 3 points.

Points	Equity (20 pts)	Likelihood of Success (20 pts)	Co-Benefits (20 pts)	Planning Goals (20 pts)	Project Metrics (20 pts)
5	☐ Project planning, implementation, or maintenance authentically	☐ Applicant has history of multiple successful	☐ Removes pavement, adds trees, and	☐ Project has clear and specific	☐ Over 75 trees planted
	led by an underserved community	planting projects	provides infiltration that controls both	objectives and strategies to reach	☐ Project area has <20%
	☐ Maximizes the co-benefit of each tree to disadvantaged	☐ Trees will require minimal watering (fall	stormwater flow and quality (phosphorus)	overall goals.	canopy cover
	communities by mitigating dust, noise, heat, traffic. The largest	planting) and pruning.	☐ Establishes new recreational opportunities	☐ Project advances town plan with	☐ Census tract has 5 or more
	possible tree is selected for all sites.	☐ Includes full list of species to be planted that	for all community members	no tradeoffs	flags on <u>VT Social Vulnerability</u>
	☐ Creates multiple lasting pathways for future benefits in an	are correctly matched with each site	☐ Provides deep shade, cooling, or shelter in a	☐ Project has express support from	<u>Index</u>
	underserved community through food security, climate resilience,	☐ Existing subsurface conditions are ideal and	heavily trafficked area	multiple entities, including municipal	☐ Municipality ranks 80-100%
	youth engagement, workforce development, etc.	require no improvement for trees to thrive.	☐ Provides food, cultural or aesthetic value	legislative body	in Vermont Community Index
	☐ Applicant hasn't interacted with VT UCF or does not have an	, .	and invites in new community members.	☐ Project is located in a state-	
	arborist on staff and would greatly benefit from technical assistance			designated center	
4	☐ Project planned, implemented, or maintained collaboratively	☐ Applicant has at least one successful past	☐ Removes pavement, adds trees, and controls	☐ Project has clear but non-specific	☐ 50-75 trees planted
	with an underserved community	planting project	stormwater flow but not quality (phosphorus)	objectives and strategies to reach	☐ Project area has 20-40%
	☐ Maximizes the co-benefit of some trees to disadvantaged	☐ Qualified professionals are committed to	☐ Enhances existing recreational opportunities	overall goals.	canopy cover
	communities. The largest possible tree is selected for some sites.	maintenance (watering / pruning) of trees.	for all community members	☐ Project advances town plan with	☐ Census tract has 4 flags on
	☐ Creates a single lasting pathway for future benefits in an	☐ Includes a full list of sites and criteria that will	☐ Provides deep shade, cooling, or shelter in a	minimal tradeoffs	VT Social Vulnerability Index
	underserved community.	be used to determine appropriate species	moderately trafficked area	☐ Project has express support from	☐ Municipality ranks 60-80%
	☐ Applicant has interacted with VT UCF, but hasn't received	☐ Root growth is enabled through cost-effective	Provides food, cultural or aesthetic value to	the municipal legislative body.	in Vermont Community Index
	technical or financial assistance	subsurface improvements (structural soil, silva	most community members	☐ Project is located in a state-	
		cells, suspended pavement Stockholm method,	,	designated center	
		etc.); infrastructure is protected from roots			
3	☐ Applicant consulted affected community and paid them as part	☐ Applicant has demonstrated success	☐ Removes pavement, adds trees, but has no	☐ Project identifies clear goals but	☐ 25-50 trees planted
	of project planning.	managing similar non-planting projects	net effect on stormwater management	no objectives and strategies to reach	☐ Project area has 40-60%
	☐ Project has considered tree equity and equitable access to trees	☐ Applicant is committed to maintenance but	☐ Enhances existing recreational opportunities	them.	canopy cover
	in site selection, but project does not specifically provide tree co-	does not have qualified professionals to do it.	for some community members	☐ Project is consistent with town	☐ Census tract has 2-3 flags
	benefits to disadvantaged communities.	☐ Identifies planting sites but not a method by	☐ Provides some shade, cooling, and shelter	plan and/or involves some tradeoffs	on VT Social Vulnerability
	☐ Creates multiple temporary pathways for future benefits in an	which to select appropriate species.	benefits to some people	☐ Project has general support from	Index
	underserved community.	☐ Root growth is enabled through subsurface	☐ Provides food, cultural or aesthetic value to	municipality	☐ Municipality ranks 40-60%
	☐ Applicant has received VT UCF technical OR financial assistance,	improvements, but at high cost.	some community members	☐ Project is located in an urban area,	in Vermont Community Index
	but still demonstrates need			but not in a state-designated center	•
2	☐ Applicant consulted with affected communities (i.e., provided	☐ Applicant has no history of similar projects	☐ No removal of pavement; planting site is	☐ Project goals are vague with no	☐ 10-25 trees planted
	avenue for input).	☐ No clear commitment to tree maintenance	currently a lawn	objectives or strategies.	☐ Project area has 60-80%
	☐ Application doesn't reference tree equity; disadvantaged	but trees are likely to survive on their own.	☐ Has no impact on recreational opportunities	☐ Project may interfere town plan or	canopy cover
	communities are unintentionally excluded from tree co-benefits .	☐ Planting sites identified, but proposed	☐ Does not provide useful shade, cooling, or	require significant tradeoffs	☐ Census tract has 1 flag on
	☐ Creates one temporary pathway for future benefits in an	species are inappropriate	shelter	☐ No clear support from municipal	VT Social Vulnerability Index
	underserved community.	☐ Below OR above ground space is restricted	☐ Project has no impact on cultural or	bodies	☐ Municipality ranks 20-40%
	☐ Applicant has received VT UCF technical AND financial		aesthetic resources	☐ Project is located in an urban area,	in Vermont Community Index
	assistance, but still demonstrates need			but not in a state-designated center	
1	☐ No consultation with affected community and/or assumptions	☐ Past planting projects have failed to meet	☐ No removal of pavement; trees planted in	☐ Project does not set goals.	☐ Fewer than 10 trees planted
	made about affected community in project planning.	goals	forest, forest edge, or meadow	☐ Project will actively hinder town	☐ Project area has >80%
	☐ Project reduces tree equity; disadvantaged communities are	☐ No clear commitment to tree maintenance	☐ Reduces recreational opportunities.	plan	canopy cover
	intentionally excluded from tree co-benefits.	and tree survival is questionable.	☐ Negatively impacts nearby buildings,	☐ There is opposition to the project	☐ Census tract has 0 flags on
	☐ Doesn't create benefit pathways in an underserved community.	☐ No planting sites identified	sidewalks, or other infrastructure	from community or affected entities	VT Social Vulnerability Index
	☐ Applicant has no need of technical or financial assistance.	☐ Below AND above ground space is restricted	☐ Project will negatively impact cultural or	☐ Project is located outside an urban	☐ Municipality ranks 0-20% in
		,	aesthetic resources	area	Vermont Community Index